(01)			•
- Art	Application No.	Applicant(s	
Office Action Summery 19 200, 3	10/790,437	KHALILI, DA	NOOD (Ein
Since Action Sammary	Examiner	Art Unit	
The MAN INC DATE of this company of the	John A. Ward	2875	<u> </u>
The MAILING DATE of this community and appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filled after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filled, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).			
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 23 F	ebruary 2004.		
•	s action is non-fin	al.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims			•
4) Claim(s) 1 and 2 is/are pending in the applical	tion.		•
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra		ation.	÷
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1 and 2</u> is/are rejected.			
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1 and 2</u> is/are objected to.	•	. •	
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election require	ment.	
Application Papers			
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.	•	
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>23 February 2004</u> is/are: a) accepted or b)⊠ objected to by the Examiner.			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).			
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:		t d	
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.			
 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage 			
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).			
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.			
Attachment(s)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date			
Notice of Draitsperson's Patent Drawing Review (F10-940) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PT0-1449 or PT0/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	· —	Notice of Informal Patent Application	n (PTO-152)

To: U.S. Patent Office

Petition Dept.

Re: Application #10/790,437

Date: March 15, 2007

Dear Sir/Madam:

I am writing to ask for your help to revive my application for reconsideration of registration.

I was out of the country and I have no relative in USA. As a result I got my hands on the papers from patent office late. In regard to this patent I replied to the office by calling from China and sending my reply a few months late, but had no idea what else was needed. My reply was accurate to support the originality of my idea and particularity of the design and application.

However it is unfortunate that my patent application was abandoned.

My idea was perceived in 2001 and it uses 1.5/1.2 volt batteries for maximum usage since it works as the battery goes to as low as 0.8 volts. As a result the rechargeable batteries are the main factor here and the fact that maximum energy from battery is used before its replacement or recharge are unique to this patent application.

Considering my difficult situation in responding on time to the US patent office, I hope you will give me a chance by reviving my application.

Sincerely,

Davood Khalili

P.O. Box 743 Santa Clara, CA 95052

Tel 408-246-2020

Tel in china 86-133916-33916

Date: March 15, 2007

۳j

Patent Application # 10/790,437

Inventor: Davood Khalili

Reply for Patent Application Question

The laser pointer patent application submitted by Davood Khalili was a result of work done in 2001 and the evidence was documented and witnessed and signed. In addition, the patent is different from other patents which have been filed by others because the Integrated Circuit chip and /or the circuitry used here allows the usage of rechargeable batteries (1.2 Volts) and it allows the device to operate at voltages (0.8) lower than any similar circuits and therefore it allows maximum juice to be used from a battery before it needs to disposed of or recharged. The intent of the application here is to use up most of the stored energy in a battery by having a circuit DC-DC converter that accepts inputs as low as 0.8 Volts. In this case rechargeable batteries and non-rechargeable batteries (1.5 Volt) can be efficiently used.